

No. 009/VGL/055
Government of India
Central Vigilance Commission


Satarkta Bhawan, Block-A
GPO Complex, INA,
New Delhi-110023
Dated, the 09th Nov., 2009

Circular No.- 31 /10/09

Sub:- Review of Purchase Preference Policy for Products and Services of Central Public Sector Enterprises(CPSEs) in view of the judgement of the Supreme Court of India in the matter of M/s Caterpillar India Pvt. Ltd. v/s Western Coalfields Ltd. and Ors dated 18.5.2007.

The Department of Public Enterprises has issued guidelines vide O.M. No. DPE/13(15)/2007-Fin. Dated 21.11.2007 on the subject cited above which reiterates DPE's earlier guidelines dated 18.07.2005 to the effect that the Purchase Preference Policy would stand terminated w.e.f. 31.03.2008. Further, it also provides that Preferential Policy framed for the specific sectors by the concerned Ministry/Department within relevant Act of Parliament or otherwise don't come within the purview of these guidelines. However, the DPE OM. Dated 21/11/2007, lays down that the concerned Ministry/Department may independently evolve/review preferential policies for the sectors of their concern as per their requirement. A copy of DPE's O.M dated 21/11/2007 is enclosed for reference.

2. The Commission has desired that if any Ministry/Department has evolved a Purchase Preference Policy pursuant to the DPE Guidelines, the same may be brought to the notice of the Commission.


(Shalini Darbari)
Director

Encl: As above.

All CVO's of Ministries/Departments

CHAPTER VI

PRICE/PURCHASE PREFERENCE

12. DPE/Guidelines/VI/12

Review of Purchase Preference Policy for Products and Services of Central Public Sector Enterprises (CPSEs) in view of the judgement of the Supreme Court of India in the matter of M/s Caterpillar India Pvt. Ltd. v/s Western Coalfields Limited and Ors dated 18.5.2007.

The undersigned is directed to refer to this Department's O.M. no. DPE.13(12)/2003-Fin. Vol. II dated 18.7.2005 regarding extension of Purchase Preference Policy for Products and Services of CPSEs for a further period of three years beyond 31.3.2005 with certain modifications.

2. The Supreme Court of India in its judgement in the transferred Civil Petitions of 2004 from the different High Courts in the matter of M/s Caterpillar India Pvt. Limited v/s Western Coalfields Limited and Ors. Observed that imposing a condition like purchase preference no option is left and a monopoly is being created. Any increase in the effectiveness of PSEs cannot be done on a uniform basis without examination as to whether such protection is necessary for a particular PSE. Further, it has to be examined on a case to case basis as to whether any differential treatment is called for. There may not be any competition left if 10% margin is allowed. It was also contended that the preference should be given PSE specific and the margin to be allowed should be examined rationally. Because of the substitution of the word 'may' by 'will' there is essentially a reversal of the policy. While giving its judgement, the Supreme Court also expressed its views which inter-alia includes the following:

- (a) Industry-wise assessment to be done by the concerned Ministries and in case of cost effectiveness is achieved by any PSEs there may not be any need for extending preference to such PSEs. Such examination should be done on the line as to whether any preference is at all called for and the extent of margin of preference to be allowed, which would also ensure level playing field for others. Further, while splitting the tenders, the minimum quantity/amount should be so fixed as to ensure that it is rational and there is no element of uncertainty. In other words, there should not be any rigid / inflexible purchase preference policy without examination as to whether such protection is necessary for a particular PSE;
- (b) Present practice of allowing uniform margin of 10% over the L-1 bidder, as purchase preference to CPSEs, has to be reviewed and margin should be fixed PSE specific by the concerned Ministry on a rational basis;
- (c) The overall impact of such preference to be allowed on foreign direct investment has also to be assessed/considered.

The Supreme Court through its judgement dated 18.5.2007 inter alia directed that the exercise, as noted above shall be undertaken by the concerned Ministry of the Central Government within a period of 4 months from the date of the judgement.

3. In view of the above mentioned judgement of the Supreme Court of India, the Government again reviewed the Purchase Preference Policy for Products and services of Central Public sector Enterprises on 25.10.2007 and decided to reiterate its decision dated 30.6.2005 that the purchase preference policy will be terminated with effect from 31.3.2008. The Government also decided that the preferential purchase policies framed for the specific sectors by the concerned Ministries/ Departments within relevant Act of Parliament or otherwise do not come within the purview of this decision. The concerned Ministry/Department may independently evolve/review preferential policies for the sectors of their

concern, as per their requirement.

4. All the administrative Ministries/Departments are requested to take note of the above mentioned decision of the Government and also bring it to the notice of the CPSEs under their administrative control for information and necessary compliance.

(DPE OM No. DPE/13(15)/2007-Fin dated 21st November 2007)
